

A Ganzstellensatz for open semi-algebraic sets in real closed valued fields

Noa Lavi

Department of Mathematics
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
Be'er Sheva 84105, ISRAEL
lano@cs.bgu.ac.il

July 11, 2011

Abstract

Let (K, ν) be a real closed valued field, and let $S \subseteq K^n$ be a definable open semi-algebraic set. We find an algebraic characterization of rational functions which are OVF-integral on S . We apply the existing model theoretic framework for proving Ganzstellensätze, and need to control semi-sections and their relations to orderings.

1 Introduction

A “Ganzstellensatz” in some valued field is a theorem giving an algebraic characterization of rational functions whose values on some definable set lie in the valuation ring (‘Ganze’ elements). A model theoretic framework for proving such theorems for theories of valued fields which are model complete was suggested by Haskell and Yaffe [3], where a refined concept of integrality relative to a theory replaces the naive definition.

In this paper we work out some properties of this refined concept in the special case of ordered valued fields. We then use the model theoretic framework, and enlarge the class of definable sets in real closed valued fields for which a Ganzstellensatz exists beyond [3]. Our main result (Theorem 5.5) states that a rational function h is OVF-integral on a definable open semi-algebraic set S exactly when h is in the ‘integral radical of an algebra generated by functions of the form $\frac{1}{1+f}$, where f is in the positive cone generated

by the polynomials which define S .

This work was done in the author's master thesis [4]. The starting point of this work was Theorem 4.12 in [3] in the **one variable case**, that is, a Ganstellensatz for sets defined by positivity of single polynomial in one variable. As a first stage for the master thesis, a generalization for one polynomial in multi variables using the ingredient from Baer-Krull [2] was proved. A proof for that case was given as well in the published version of [3]. The next stage - and the main result of this paper - was a generalization to several polynomials.

In order to achieve a Ganzstellensatz theorem, one first needs to verify OVF-integrality of the generators (where in the one variable case this is not needed, as the OVF-integrality coincides with naive integrality), and this is done using Lemma 3.5 and applied for both one and several polynomial cases as is. In order to generalize from one polynomial (in multi variables) positivity condition to several such conditions, we show that for every valuation on the field of rational functions which its valuation ring contains the set of generators, one can construct an order on the field which is compatible with the valuation, in which the polynomials defining the set are positive. We construct a semi-section in Lemma 5.4 (rather than using the fact that a semi-section exists [2] and then using it as a black box, as it is done in the proof of Theorem 4.12 in [3]) which forces some of the positivity terms, and an order on the residue field in Lemma 5.1 which forces the others. Then, we use the Baer-Krull theorem [2] to generate an order on the field from the order of the residue field and the semi-section which induces the order on the residue field and satisfies all the required positivity conditions.

It was pointed out to the author's attention that a result that would not contain the "OVF"-condition might be meaningful and interesting to a wider scale of mathematicians. In Proposition 6.1 we prove that being *OVF*-integral on sets from our type of interest (open semi-algebraic which could be intersected with sets defined by valuation inequalities of rational functions) is the same as admitting values only in the valuation ring (with the possibility of being non-defined at some points) on those sets. From this, we may deduce a criterion for a rational function to be bounded on open semi-algebraic sets in real closed fields which we demonstrate in Corollary 6.5 and 6.6.

2 Preliminaries

Given a valued field (K, ν) we denote its valuation group by Γ_ν , its valuation ring by $O_\nu = \{a \in K : \nu(a) \geq 0\}$, and its ideal of ‘infinitesimals’ by $\mathcal{M}_\nu = \{a \in K : \nu(a) > 0\}$. We might also use Γ_K , O_K and $\mathcal{M}_K$ when the valuation ν is clear from context.

We begin by defining the class of valued fields which is our object of interest.

Definition 2.1 *An ordered valued field (or OVF) is an ordered field $(K, \leq)$ equipped with a valuation ν satisfying $\forall x, y \in K : 0 < x < y \Rightarrow \nu(x) \geq \nu(y)$.*

Equivalently one can require the ‘ring of integers’ O_ν to be convex (with respect to $\leq$). If $\langle K, \nu \rangle$ is some valued field then we will say that an order $\leq_K$ on K is *compatible with ν* if $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models \text{OVF}$.

We now define a class of OVFs which satisfy a nice ‘geometric’ condition.

Definition 2.2 *A real closed valued field (or RCVF) is an ordered valued field which is real closed.*

Cherlin and Dickmann [1] proved that the theory RCVF is the model companion of OVF. The relevant consequence for us is that if K is a RCVF, and L is an OVF extending K , then K is *existentially closed* in L . This means that if $\phi(\bar{X})$ is some quantifier free first-order formula with parameters from K (in the OVF language) and $L \models \exists \bar{X} : \phi(\bar{X})$ then there is some $\bar{b} \in K^n$ satisfying $\phi(\bar{b})$.

3 OVF-integrality

We say that a rational function f over a valued field (K, ν) is (naively) integral at some point $\bar{b} \in K^n$ if the function f is defined at $\bar{b}$, and $f(\bar{b})$ is in the value ring O_ν . A motivation for the need for a refined notion of integrality of a rational function at a point was given in section 2.2 of [3].

Let L denote the field $K(\bar{x})$ of rational functions in variables $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ over K .

Definition 3.1 [3] *A valuation $\tilde{\nu}$ on L which extends ν is called an OVF-valuation if there exists some order $\leq_L$ on L such that $(L, \leq_L, \tilde{\nu}) \models \text{OVF}$.*

Note that by definition any OVF-valuation on L extends ν .

Definition 3.2 [3] Let $\langle K, \nu \rangle \models RCVF$, $\bar{b} \in K^n$. We will say that an OVF-valuation $\tilde{\nu}$ on $L = K(\bar{x})$ is near $\bar{b}$ if for every $f \in L$ such that $f(\bar{b}) = 0$ and every $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ we have $\tilde{\nu}(f) > \gamma$.

For any $\bar{b} \in K^n$ there exist OVF-valuations near $\bar{b}$ - see for example Proposition 4.2 of [3] for a proof.

Definition 3.3 [3] Let $\langle K, \nu \rangle \models RCVF$. Given $f \in L = K(\bar{x})$ and $\bar{b} \in K^n$ we say that f is OVF-integral at $\bar{b}$ if for any OVF-valuation $\nu_{\bar{b}}$ on L which is near $\bar{b}$ we have $\nu_{\bar{b}}(f) \geq 0$.

For $S \subseteq K^n$ we say that f is OVF-integral on S if f is OVF-integral at $\bar{b}$ for every $\bar{b} \in S$.

By existence of OVF-valuations near $\bar{b}$ it is easy to conclude that OVF-integrality is equivalent to naive integrality whenever f is defined at $\bar{b}$.

Lemma 3.4 [3] Let $f \in K(\bar{x})$ and $\bar{b} \in K^n$ (where $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models RCVF$) such that f is defined at $\bar{b}$. Then f is integral at $\bar{b}$ if and only if it is OVF-integral at $\bar{b}$.

3.1 Properties of OVF-integrality

We begin with a nice lemma which demonstrates the implications of being an OVF-valuation near $\bar{b}$.

Lemma 3.5 Let $\nu_{\bar{b}}$ be an OVF-valuation on $L = K(\bar{x})$ near $\bar{b} \in K^n$, and assume $p \in L$ satisfies $p(\bar{b}) > 0$. Then for every ordering $\leq_L$ on L which is compatible with $\nu_{\bar{b}}$ we have $p \geq_L 0$.

Proof Assuming for contradiction that $p \leq_L 0$ we get $0 < p(\bar{b}) \leq_L p(\bar{b}) - p$, and by the OVF axiom we get $\gamma := \nu(p(\bar{b})) \geq \nu_{\bar{b}}(p(\bar{b}) - p)$. However $(p(\bar{b}) - p)(\bar{b}) = 0$, and since $\nu_{\bar{b}}$ is a valuation near $\bar{b}$ the valuation $\nu_{\bar{b}}(p(\bar{b}) - p)$ is larger than any element of Γ_K , contradicting $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. ■

Remark (i) The converse implication is false, of course: even if $p \geq_L 0$ for every order $\leq_L$ compatible with $\nu_{\bar{b}}$ we may only deduce $p(\bar{b}) \geq 0$ (for example consider $p(x) = (x - b)^2$).

(ii) Lemma 3.5 was implicitly used in the proof of Theorem 4.12 of [3], when justifying the necessity property.

We now give notation for the positive cone generated by a subset of some field.

Definition 3.6 Let L be any field, $P \subseteq L$ some subset. The positive cone of P is the minimal set $\text{Cone}(P) \subseteq L$ containing $P \cup (L^\times)^2$ which is closed under addition and multiplication.

Clearly if $(L, \leq)$ is an ordered field and P is contained in the set $L^{\geq 0}$ of non-negative elements then $\text{Cone}(P) \subseteq L^{\geq 0}$. Note that L is formally real exactly when $-1 \notin \text{Cone}(\emptyset)$, and that usually the term ‘cone’ is used only when -1 is not in $\text{Cone}(P)$.

Proposition 3.7 Given polynomials $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ from $K[\bar{x}]$ define $S_{\bar{p}} = \{\bar{b} \in K^n : \forall i \in [m] : p_i(\bar{b}) > 0\}$, and assume $S_{\bar{p}}$ is non-empty. Then for every $f \in \text{Cone}(\bar{p})$ the function $\frac{1}{1+f}$ is OVF-integral on $S_{\bar{p}}$.

Proof Fix some $\bar{b} \in S_{\bar{p}}$, and let $\nu_{\bar{b}}$ be any OVF-valuation near $\bar{b}$. We need to show that $\nu_{\bar{b}}(\frac{1}{1+f}) \geq 0$. Now choose some ordering $\leq_L$ on L which is compatible with $\nu_{\bar{b}}$. By Lemma 3.5 we have $p_i \geq_L 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), and since f is in the cone generated by the polynomials p_i we also get $f \geq_L 0$. Therefore in the OVF L we have $0 < 1 \leq_L 1+f$, and we may conclude that $\nu_{\bar{b}}(1) \geq \nu_{\bar{b}}(1+f)$, or $\frac{1}{1+f} \in O_{\nu_{\bar{b}}}$, as required.

4 Formulation of the general Ganzstellensatz

Let (K, ν) be any valued field, L an extension field, and $A \subseteq L$ some O_K -algebra. We give here the definitions and the framework for proving a Ganzstellensatz. A Motivation for these definitions and a proof for Lemma 4.2 (for a general theory of valued fields) can be found in [3].

Let $A \subseteq L$ be an O_K -algebra such that $A \cap K = O_K$. Define $T = \{1 + ma : m \in \mathcal{M}_K, a \in A\}$, and note that T is a multiplicative set.

Definition 4.1 The integral radical of A in L is defined as the integral closure (in L) of the localization A_T , and will be denoted by $\sqrt[A]{A}^{\text{int}}$.

Note that (K, ν) and L are omitted from the above notation for convenience.

The following proposition is a special case of the model theoretic framework given in Lemma 2.17 of [3]:

Proposition 4.2 [3] Let $\langle K, \nu \rangle \models \text{RCVF}$ and let $S \subseteq K^n$ be a nonempty set defined by a quantifier free formula $\phi_S(\bar{X})$. Let $L = K(\bar{x})$, and assume $A \subseteq L$ is an O_K -algebra with the following properties:

Necessity Every $f \in A$ is OVF-integral on S .

Sufficiency Let $\tilde{\nu}$ be any valuation on L extending ν such that $A \subseteq O_{\tilde{\nu}}$. Then there exists an order $\leq_L$ which is compatible with $\tilde{\nu}$, and such that $\langle L, \tilde{\nu}, \leq_L \rangle \models \phi_S(\bar{x})$.

Then for every $h \in L$ we have that h is OVF-integral on S if and only if $h \in \sqrt[\text{int}]{A}$.

5 A Ganzstellensatz for open semi-algebraic sets

Let $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ be a tuple of polynomials from $K[\bar{x}]$, and let $S_{\bar{p}} = \{\bar{b} \in K^n \mid \forall i \in [m] : p_i(\bar{b}) > 0\}$. Assume that the set $S_{\bar{p}}$ is non-empty.

Remember that $\text{Cone}(\bar{p})$ denotes the positive cone generated by the polynomials p_i . Since we assumed $S_{\bar{p}} \neq \emptyset$ we get $-1 \notin \text{Cone}(\bar{p})$, and we let $I_{\bar{p}} = \{\frac{1}{1+f} \mid f \in \text{Cone}(\bar{p})\}$. Note that if we denote by Q the set of sums of squares in $(L^\times)^2$ then

$$I_{\bar{p}} = \left\{ \left(1 + \sum_{J \subseteq [m]} r_J \prod_{i \in J} p_i \right)^{-1} \mid r_J \in Q \right\}$$

Finally let $A_{\bar{p}}$ be the O_K -algebra generated by $I_{\bar{p}}$. Our goal in this section is to show that the O_K -algebra $A_{\bar{p}}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4.2. We start with a few lemmas.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\tilde{\nu}$ be any valuation on $L = K(\bar{x})$ extending ν such that $A_{\bar{p}} \subseteq O_{\tilde{\nu}}$, and let $C = \{\text{res}(\frac{q}{c^2}) \mid q \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle, c \in L, \tilde{\nu}(q) = \tilde{\nu}(c^2)\}$ where $\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$ is the multiplicative semi-group generated by $p_1, \dots, p_m$. Let ℓ denote the residue field of $(L, \tilde{\nu})$.*

Then there exists an order $\leq_\ell$ on ℓ such that $f \geq_\ell 0$ for every $f \in C$.

Proof We shall assume by way of contradiction that there is no such linear order, i.e., -1 belongs to the cone generated by $\{a^2 \mid a \in \ell\} \cup C$. Hence, there exist some $q_1, \dots, q_t \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$ and some $c_1, \dots, c_t \in L$ such that $\tilde{\nu}(c_i^2) = \tilde{\nu}(q_i)$, and there exist some $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_t \in \ell$ such that every ρ_j is a sum of square elements in ℓ , satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^t \rho_j \text{res}\left(\frac{q_j}{c_j^2}\right) = -1.$$

Let $r_1, \dots, r_t \in L$ be such that every r_j is a sum of square elements in L and $\text{res}(r_j) = \rho_j$. Then

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^t r_j \left(\frac{q_j}{c_j^2} \right) \in \mathcal{M}_L.$$

Therefore the inverse of the above expression has negative valuation. However this inverse clearly has the form $\frac{1}{1+f}$ for some $f \in \text{Cone}(\bar{p})$, contradicting $I_{\bar{p}} \subseteq O_{\bar{\nu}}$. $\blacksquare$

We now demonstrate the main tool for constructing an order on a valued field from the order on its residue field. We begin with the definition of semi-sections, which exist for any valued field.

Definition 5.2 *A semi-section of a valued field $\langle L, \mu \rangle$ is a map $s : \Gamma_L \rightarrow L^\times$ such that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ we have $\mu(s(\gamma)) = \gamma$ and for every $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_L$ we have*

$$\frac{s(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}{s(\gamma_1)s(\gamma_2)} \in L^{\times 2}$$

The following lemma is a special case of the Baer-Krull theorem (see for example [2]), and we use it in this paper to construct from a semi-section and an order on the residue field an order on the field which induces the order on the residue field.

Lemma 5.3 *Let (L, μ) be a valued field, and let $\leq_\ell$ be an order on the residue field ℓ . For any semi-section s of (L, μ) we can define an order on L by $x >_L 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{res}\left(\frac{x}{s(\mu(x))}\right) >_\ell 0$. Moreover, the order $\leq_L$ induces $\leq_\ell$. Finally, any order on L which induces $\leq_\ell$ is compatible with μ .*

Using the following lemma, we show that for every finite set of elements in the field with odd valuation, we can construct an order on the field in which they would be positive.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\tilde{\nu}$ be a valuation on L extending ν , and let $\leq_\ell$ be an order on the residue field ℓ of $(L, \tilde{\nu})$. Given $p_1, \dots, p_t \in L$ let $\gamma_i = \tilde{\nu}(p_i) \in \Gamma_L$ be their corresponding valuations. Assume that $\left\{ \frac{\gamma_i}{2\Gamma_L} \right\}_{i=1}^t$ are linearly independent over $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}}$. Then there exists an order $\leq_L$ which induces $\leq_\ell$, such that $p_i \geq_L 0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t$.*

Proof Let $\tilde{\gamma}_i = \frac{\gamma_i}{2\Gamma_L}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and let $\{\tilde{\gamma}_i\}_{i \in \delta}$ be an extension to a base for $\frac{\Gamma_L}{2\Gamma_L}$ as a vector space over $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\tilde{s} : \frac{\Gamma_L}{2\Gamma_L} \rightarrow \frac{L^\times}{L^{\times 2}}$ be a group homomorphism such that $\tilde{s}(\tilde{\gamma}_i) = \tilde{p}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\tilde{p}_i$ is the image of p_i in $\frac{L^\times}{L^{\times 2}}$. Our aim is to find a function s such that the following diagram commutes and $\tilde{\nu}(g) = \tilde{\nu}(s(\tilde{\nu}(g)))$ for every $g \in L$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_L & \xrightarrow{s} & L^\times \\ \downarrow \pi_{2\Gamma_L} & & \downarrow \pi_{L^{\times 2}} \\ \frac{\Gamma_L}{2\Gamma_L} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} & \frac{L^\times}{L^{\times 2}} \end{array}$$

For every $\gamma \in 2\Gamma_L$ let $f_\gamma \in L^{\times 2}$ be such that $\tilde{\nu}(f_\gamma) = \gamma$. Let $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in \delta}$ be representatives for $\{\tilde{\gamma}_i\}_{i \in \delta}$ and let $f_{\gamma_i} \in L^\times$ be such that $\tilde{\nu}(f_{\gamma_i}) = \gamma_i$ and lifting $\tilde{s}(\tilde{\gamma}_i)$. Define $s(\sum_{j=1}^t \gamma_{i_j} + 2\gamma) = \prod_{j=1}^t f_{\gamma_{i_j}} f_{2\gamma}$. Since every element in Γ_L has a unique such representation s is well defined. Thus s is a semi-section, and by Lemma 5.3 we may define an order $\leq_L$ on L by $g >_L 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{res}(\frac{g}{s(\tilde{\nu}(g))}) >_\ell 0$, and $\leq_L$ induces $\leq_\ell$. For every $1 \leq i \leq t$ we have that $s(\gamma_i) = p_i c_i^2$ (where $\tilde{\nu}(c) = 0$) hence $\text{res}(\frac{p_i}{s(\gamma_i)}) > 0$ and $p_i >_L 0$. ■

Now, we are ready to prove the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 5.5 *Let $\langle K, \nu \rangle$ be a real closed valued field and let $L = K(\bar{x})$. Let $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ where $p_1, \dots, p_m \in K[\bar{x}]$. Let*

$$S_{\bar{p}} = \left\{ \bar{b} \in K^n \mid \bigwedge_{i=1}^m p_i(\bar{b}) > 0 \right\}$$

Let $I_{\bar{p}} = \left\{ \frac{1}{1+f} \mid f \in \text{Cone}(\bar{p}) \right\}$, and let $A_{\bar{p}}$ be the O_K -algebra generated by $I_{\bar{p}}$.

Then for every $h \in L$, $h \in {}^{\text{int}}\sqrt{A_{\bar{p}}}$ if and only if h is OVF-integral on $S_{\bar{p}}$.

Proof In order to prove the Theorem we shall prove that $A_{\bar{p}}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4.2.

For the necessity condition we need to prove that for every $h \in A_{\bar{p}}$, h is OVF-integral on $S_{\bar{p}}$. Since $A_{\bar{p}}$ is generated as an O_K -algebra by $I_{\bar{p}}$ it will be enough to prove that h is OVF-integral on $S_{\bar{p}}$ for every $h \in I_{\bar{p}}$. This is exactly the content of Proposition 3.7.

In order to prove the sufficiency condition, we need to show that for every valuation $\tilde{\nu}$ extending ν to L such that $A_{\bar{p}} \subset O_{\tilde{\nu}}$ there exists an order $\leq_L$ on L , compatible with $\tilde{\nu}$, such that $p_1, \dots, p_m \geq_L 0$.

For $i \in [m]$ let $\gamma_i = \tilde{\nu}(p_i)$, and denote by $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ the image of γ_i in $\frac{\Gamma_L}{2\Gamma_L}$. Without loss of generality, let $p_1, \dots, p_t$ be such that $\{\tilde{\gamma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_t\}$ is a maximal independent subset of $\{\tilde{\gamma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_m\}$ over $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}}$. So, for all $t+1 \leq i \leq m$

$$\tilde{\nu}(p_i \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{s_{i,j}}) \in 2\Gamma_L$$

for some $s_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, there exists an order $\leq_\ell$ on the residue field ℓ , such that for any order $\leq_L$ on L which induces $\leq_\ell$ all elements in the set

$$\left\{ \frac{p_i \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{s_{i,j}}}{c_i^2} \mid t+1 \leq i \leq m, \tilde{\nu}(c_i^2) = \tilde{\nu}(p_i \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{s_{i,j}}) \right\}$$

are positive.

By Lemma 5.4 there exists an order $\leq_L$ inducing $\leq_\ell$ such that $p_1, \dots, p_t \geq_L 0$. Hence $p_i \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{s_{i,j}} \geq_L 0$ for every $t+1 \leq i \leq m$. Thus $p_1, \dots, p_m \geq_L 0$. By the last part of Lemma 5.3 any order on L which induces an order on the residue field is compatible with $\tilde{\nu}$, in particular $\leq_L$. Hence, the sufficiency property holds.

As proved above, $A_{\bar{p}}$ satisfies the necessity and sufficiency properties, and therefore by Proposition 4.2 $h \in {}^{\text{int}}\sqrt{A_{\bar{p}}}$ if and only if h is OVF-integral on $S_{\bar{p}}$. ■

We also get a similar Ganzstellensatz for the intersection of S_p with finitely many valuation inequalities, with an almost identical proof.

Theorem 5.6 *Let $\langle K, \nu \rangle$ be a real closed valued field and let $L = K(\bar{x})$. Let $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m), \bar{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_l)$ where $p_1, \dots, p_m \in K[\bar{x}]$ and $g_1, \dots, g_l \in K(\bar{x})$. Let*

$$S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}} = \left\{ \bar{b} \in K^n \mid \bigwedge_{i=1}^m p_i(\bar{b}) > 0, \bigwedge_{i=1}^l \nu(g_i(\bar{b})) \geq 0 \right\}$$

Let $I_{\bar{p}} = \left\{ \frac{1}{1+f} \mid f \in \text{Cone}(\bar{p}) \right\}$, and let $A_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$ be the O_K -algebra generated by $I_{\bar{p}} \cup \{g_1, \dots, g_l\}$.

Let $h \in L$. Then $h \in {}^{\text{int}}\sqrt{A_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}}$ if and only if h is OVF-integral over $S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$.

6 Boundedness on open semi-algebraic sets

We now show that we can use the Ganzstellensatz theorem 5.6 in order to give a criterion for a rational function to be bounded on an open semi-algebraic set. First, we show that for a rational function h being OVF-integral on definable sets as those we are working on, just means that whenever h is defined on the set it is integral.

Proposition 6.1 *Let $\langle K, \nu, \leq_K \rangle \models RCVF$. Let $S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$ be as above and $h \in K(\bar{x})$. Then h is OVF-integral on $S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$ if and only if h gets only integral values on $S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$.*

Proof One direction follows directly from 3.4. For the second direction - let $\bar{b} \in S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$ such that h is not definable at $\bar{b}$ and suppose that h is not OVF-integral at $\bar{b}$. Hence there exists $\nu_{\bar{b}}$ an OVF-valuation which extends ν near $\bar{b}$ such that $\nu_{\bar{b}}(h) < 0$. Let $\leq_{\bar{b}}$ be an order compatible with $\nu_{\bar{b}}$. According to Lemma 3.5 every such order satisfies $\bar{p} \geq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{K}(\bar{x}) \models "\bar{p}(\bar{x}) > 0 \wedge \nu(\bar{g}(\bar{x})) \geq 0 \wedge \nu(h(\bar{x})) < 0"$. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is existentially closed in $\mathcal{K}(\bar{x})$ then there exists $c \in S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$ such that $\nu(h(c)) < 0$. Hence h gets also non-integral values on $S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$. ■

Remark In [5] Y.Yaffe gives actually a topological criterion of being OVF-integral at a point, and deduces further topological results on real closed valued fields, and generalizes 5.6 to open semi-algebraic sets intersected with sets that admit that criterion on some rational functions.

Definition 6.2 *Let $K \models RCVF$ and let $a \in K$. We say that $h \in K(\bar{x})$ is bounded by a on a set S if $h(S) \subseteq B_\alpha$, where $\nu(a) = \alpha$, B_α is the closed ball in radius α around 0.*

We may now obtain the following about boundedness of rational functions on open semi-algebraic sets in real closed valued fields.

Corollary 6.3 *Let $K \models RCVF$ and let $a \geq 0$. For every $h \in K(\bar{x})$, we have that h is bounded by a on $S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$ if and only if $h \in {}^{\text{int}}\sqrt{A_a T}$ where A_a denotes the $(-aO_K, +aO_K)$ -algebra generated by $I_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$.*

Corollary 6.4 *For every $h \in K(\bar{x})$, we have that h is bounded on $S_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$ if and only if $h \in {}^{\text{int}}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_T}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ denotes the K -algebra generated by $I_{\bar{p}, \bar{g}}$.*

For every non-archimedean real closed field R there exists a canonical valuation which makes R be a model of $RCVF$ in which the valuation ring is the convex hull of $\mathbb{Z}$ in R . Hence, we may obtain a criterion for a rational function to be bounded on an open semi-algebraic set $S_{\bar{p}}$ in R^n .

Corollary 6.5 $h \in R(\bar{x})$ is bounded on $S_{\bar{p}}$ if and only if $h \in {}^{int}\sqrt{\mathcal{B}}$ where $\mathcal{B}$ is the R -algebra B generated by $I_{\bar{p}}$ localized by the set $1 + \mathcal{M}B$, where $\mathcal{M} = \{\epsilon | \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$.

For every real closed field (archimedean as well, as $\mathbb{R}$), one could obtain result using the field of puiseux series and Corollary 6.3.

Corollary 6.6 Let $R \models RCF$ and let $\tilde{R}$ its puiseux series, then $h \in R(\bar{x})$ is bounded on $S_{\bar{p}}$ if and only if $h \in {}^{int}\sqrt{\mathcal{B}} \cap R(\bar{x})$ where $\mathcal{B}$ is the $O_{\tilde{R}}$ -algebra B generated by $I_{\bar{p}}$ localized by $T(B)$.

Proof In order to use Corollary 6.3 we need to verify that $h \in R(\bar{x})$ which is OVF-integral according to the standard valuation (could be also trivial) on $S_{\bar{p}}$ is also OVF-integral on $S_{\bar{p}}^{\tilde{R}}$. Suppose by contradiction that there exists $h \in R(\bar{x})$ such that h is OVF-integral on $S_{\bar{p}}$ and $\bar{b} \in \tilde{R}^n - R^n$ such that $\bar{b} \in S_{\bar{p}}^{\tilde{R}}$ and h is not OVF-integral at $\bar{b}$. Let $\bar{b}_0 \in R^n$ such that $b[i] - b_0[i] \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{R}} - \mathcal{M}_R$, and denote $h = \frac{q}{t}$. Then $t(\bar{b}_0) = 0$ and for every $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{R}} - \mathcal{M}_R$ we have that h is not OVF-integral at $\bar{b}_0 + (m)i$ where $(m)i$ has m in its i coordinate and 0 in all others. Hence, according to Proposition 2.6 at [5] h is not OVF-integral at $\bar{b}_0$. ■

7 Acknowledgments

This work was submitted as part of the author's M.Sc. thesis, supervised by Assaf Hasson at Ben-Gurion University, Israel. The author wishes to thank highly to Yoav Yaffe, for introducing her the question of work and the Baer-Krull ingredient which has been central in this work, and for his devoted guidance which without it the work probably would not have taken place. The main body of this paper (Sections 2-5) represents joint work with (and was jointly written by) Yoav Yaffe, who supervised informally on the master thesis, and from his own reasons and time constraints chose not to be a co-author of this paper.

References

- [1] G. Cherlin and M. Dickmann, Real closed rings. II. Model Theory, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **25** (1983), no. 3, 213–231.
- [2] I. Efrat, *Valuations, orderings, and Milnor K-theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **124**, AMS, Providence, 2006.

- [3] D. Haskell and Y. Yaffe, Ganzstellensätze in theories of valued fields, *J. Math. Logic* **8** (2008), no. 1, 1–22.
- [4] N. Lavi, Ganzstellensatz for open sets over real closed valued fields, Master thesis, Ben Gurion university of the Negev, 2010.
- [5] Y. Yaffe, Characterizing finitary functions over non-archimedean RCFs via a topological definition of OVF-integrality, *arXiv:1103.1873v2*